

# Dirtystuff

## Debrief

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Issues and stakeholders

Issue	Consumer	Agency	Labor	Industry	Environment
1. ppm	0 ppm	1 ppm	5 ppm or can live with 1 ppm with some other concessions	15 ppm - can live with 1 ppm, and less without applying equipment	5 ppm but can live with 1 ppm with some other concessions
2. Cleaning technology: Staubex or Rohner		Prefer Staubex can live with Rohner	Labor to have voice in choice of technology, prefer Staubex, can live with Rohner, afraid industry will come up with something new	Remain choice of method (want flexibility to use new technology now being developed)	Staubex
3. Notification to workers every 24 hours	Notification immediately	Don't care	Need guarantees	No posting except when there is a problem	Notification plus (if possible) clause that company can be sued for triple damages if false claims are made
4. No penalty but no pay for workers who don't work if ppm is not met	Workers compensated for days missed if standard exceeded or cleanup inadequate		Workers must be paid. They must be able to act immediately upon notice of non-attainment	Cannot live with current form - need to be able to fire workers who don't report to work	
5. Some demand	Community observes industry monitoring; notification to Agency within 7 days	Bipartisan - can actively involved in monitoring	Evaluation must be done by extend a bit beyond 7 day reporting, but no second try	1 month delay for reporting to Agency, ability to do repeat monitoring test if there is a problem.	Companies can choose independent monitoring contractor approved by a Local Advisory Board (i.e. Env. groups) Full back. Agency approval of selection of contractor evaluation methodology
	Community involved in new research efforts		Agency or Industry must fund future research substantially		Agency must mount substantial research program, and will ban Dirty Stuff if revealed to be even slightly more dangerous
			Dismantled in bit of Agency and Industry		
	Rules in effect for at least 1 year	Consensus building important		Agreement in effect for at least 3 years.	

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Good agreements

- ◆ What do you think makes for a good agreement? What are some criteria?
  - How is your outcome?

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman  
sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Good agreements**

- ◆ Fair
- ◆ Wise
- ◆ Efficient
- ◆ Stable
  - How is your outcome?

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman      sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Process**

- ◆ How did you organize it?
  - Cooperative or competitive tone?
  - How did it affect the outcome?
- ◆ Facilitator
  - Help or hinder?
  - What role did he/she play? (active, passive)

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman      sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Single negotiating text**

- ◆ what is it?
- ◆ is it useful?
  - what does it accomplish?  
(no decision is a decision)
  - under what conditions?  
(are there situations where it would not work?)

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Single negotiating text (snt)

- ◆ Document used by 3d party to
  - focus discussion
  - begin packaging issues into possible agreements
- ◆ Advantages:
  - Neutral record of proceedings if produced by 3d party

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Facilitator

- ◆ neutral discussion leader
- ◆ enhance communication
- ◆ does not get involved in substance of issues (mediators do)
- ◆ pros and cons of
  - Inside facilitator
  - Outside facilitator

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Summary

1. Wide range of possible agreements (generating options is very useful)
2. Facilitator role
3. Use of Single Negotiating Text
  - Focal discussion point
  - Tool for recording agreement
4. Meeting design created by parties who can set the tone

env. & human affairs, sanda kaufman sanda kaufman  
negotiation fundamentals

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---